IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 2001 July 11 PM 3-51

In re:) Chapter 11 US DATIVE FROM COURT
W. R. GRACE & CO., et al.,) Case No. 01-01139 (JJF)
Debtors.) Jointly Administered
	 Objections Due By 7/11/01 @ 4:00 p.m. Hearing Date: 7/19/01 @ Noon

NATIONAL MEDICAL CARE'S RESPONSE TO THE JOINT MOTION BY THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEES OF ASBESTOS PROPERTY DAMAGE AND ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMANTS FOR AUTHORITY TO PROSECUTE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CLAIMS (DOCKET NO. 477)

National Medical Care, Inc. ("NMC"), submits this response to the motion filed jointly by the Official Committee of Asbestos Property Damage Claimants and the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants (collectively, the "Asbestos Committees") seeking authority to prosecute certain fraudulent transfer claims on behalf of the Debtors.

INTRODUCTION

In their joint motion, the Asbestos Committees seek authorization to challenge as fraudulent conveyances certain transfers made in connection with two complex corporate transactions: a 1996 transaction involving the combination of NMC with the worldwide dialysis business of Fresenius AG (the "Fresenius transaction"), and a 1998 transaction involving the combination of the Debtors' packaging business with Sealed Air Corporation (the "Sealed Air transaction"). Although Fresenius takes no position on whether this Court should empower the Asbestos Committees to evaluate or pursue the alleged fraudulent transfer claims, we do believe that the joint motion mischaracterizes the factual background of the Fresenius transaction, obscures the legal and factual impediments to the

successful assertion of a fraudulent conveyance claim based on the Fresenius transaction, and avoids altogether discussing the enormous burden that this litigation will impose on the Debtors and their Estates. Accordingly, NMC urges the Court to acknowledge the role the Debtors will need to play in the resolution of the novel theories that the Asbestos Committees seek to present, and to insist that such litigation go forward, if at all, in this Court, so that the Court can closely monitor the litigation and ensure that all the interests of the Estates are properly protected.

ARGUMENT

I. THE ASBESTOS COMMITTEES HAVE MISCHARACTERIZED THE 1996 TRANSACTION BETWEEN GRACE AND FRESENIUS

A. Overview

While NMC intends to defend itself vigorously in any adversary proceeding in this Court alleging fraudulent transfer claims, it would, of course, be premature for NMC to present its defense on the merits before a complaint has even been filed. Nonetheless, the mischaracterizations contained in the joint motion of the Asbestos Committees require a short response that accurately sets forth the circumstances of the Fresenius transaction.

In particular, this Court should view with great skepticism the suggestion of the Asbestos Committees that the 1996 Fresenius transaction was designed to shield NMC from asbestos liability. (Joint Motion at 1 and 5.) To the contrary, at the time of the 1996 transaction NMC and certain of its affiliated subsidiaries were the targets of criminal and civil investigations being conducted by various agencies of the federal government, which investigations related to allegations regarding certain billing and reimbursement practices. Among the potential penalties NMC and its subsidiaries faced as a result of the

Page 3 of 15

investigation were substantial fines, civil penalties, forfeitures, and exclusion from participation in all federal health care programs.

In the four years that followed the Fresenius transaction, Grace remained a profitable, solvent, and well-capitalized company. During that same time, NMC bore the burdens, disruptions, and expense of the various federal and state criminal and civil investigations. To date, these investigations have cost NMC and its affiliates well in excess of \$500 million in penalties and expenses, and related private civil litigation still continues. No doubt that is why during the four years following the Fresenius transaction not a single creditor sought to attack the transaction as "fraudulent" or to impose liability on NMC or its affiliates for the conduct of Grace. To put it quite bluntly, for those four years it appeared to most that Grace may well have gotten the better of the deal between these large and sophisticated companies.

It is only after significant changes in circumstance -- now that Fresenius has resolved substantial portions of its reimbursement litigation and Grace has faced an unforeseeable explosion in meritless asbestos claims -- that the Asbestos Committees seek to revise history and claim that the 1996 transaction was fraudulent "in purpose and effect." (Joint Motion at 1.) To mount this attack, the Asbestos Committees seek not only to ignore the realities that were known and reasonably foreseeable as of 1996, but they try to avoid any mention of the significant financial strength demonstrated by Grace in the years immediately following the Fresenius transaction.

B. Grace Obtained the Highest and Best Value for NMC

As of the end of 1995, W.R. Grace & Co.-Conn. (Grace-Conn.) owned all the outstanding common stock of NMC, which was a large provider of dialysis services and

Page 4 of 15

products throughout the United States. Grace-Conn., in turn, was wholly owned by W.R. Grace & Co., a New York corporation (Grace-N.Y.).

Beginning in the fall of 1995, Grace-N.Y. and its affiliated companies (collectively, "Grace") engaged in arms-length negotiations with several entities relating to the possible sale or merger of NMC. Ultimately, Grace decided that the best value could be obtained by negotiating a reorganization that would result in the tax free combination of NMC with the worldwide dialysis businesses of Fresenius AG. (Fresenius AG is a German corporation with its principal place of business in Bad Homburg, Germany.)

The 1996 transaction was structured in such a way as to provide maximum tax benefits to Grace. As a result of this structure, consideration was paid both directly to Grace-Conn. and to Grace shareholders. Ultimately, however, the Fresenius entities paid over \$4 billion in cash and stock for NMC. Of that, Grace-Conn. received a tax free cash distribution of approximately \$2.1 billion. Moreover, and perhaps equally important, Grace-Conn. received from the Fresenius entities an indemnity agreement, which assured that Grace would not bear any of the potential liability arising out of the ongoing investigations of NMC.

In addition to receiving over \$2 billion in tax free cash at the time of the transaction, Grace-Conn. remained a solvent, well capitalized entity long after the Fresenius transaction. For over four years after the transaction, Grace continued to pay its debts as they came due, obtained hundreds of millions of dollars in unsecured lines of credit from some of the world's most sophisticated financial institutions, paid millions of dollars in dividends, paid hundreds of millions of dollars in asbestos settlements, and maintained a significant market capitalization (over \$1.4 billion eighteen months after the

Page 5 of 15

transaction, and approximately \$1.2 billion a full three years after the transaction). To say that the Asbestos Committees will be pursuing novel theories to argue that such a company was rendered insolvent or undercapitalized as of 1996 is an understatement.

Although the structure of the Fresenius transaction may have been complicated, this transaction did not constitute a fraudulent transfer under applicable law. That said, this Court should be mindful of the degree to which any fraudulent conveyance claim relating to the Fresenius transaction necessarily will require significant involvement and attention by the Debtors.

II. LITIGATION OF THE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER CLAIMS WILL REQUIRE SIGNIFICANT INVOLVEMENT OF GRACE

The joint motion of the Asbestos Committees makes clear that whatever entities the committees might ultimately elect to sue, it is the conduct of Grace that they really wish to challenge. The joint motion suggests that the Asbestos Committees will claim that Grace had a fraudulent purpose in entering into these transactions, and that the effect of one or both of the transactions was to render Grace insolvent or undercapitalized. Accordingly, the fraudulent conveyance litigation necessarily will focus on the intentions and conduct of Grace management, the financial condition of Grace, and the reasonably foreseeable asbestos liabilities of Grace at the time of the transactions. All of this information is unavailable to either NMC or Sealed Air, and thus it is to Grace personnel and documents that all of the parties will turn for the primary factual information in this case.

Thus, the issue of who should prosecute the fraudulent transfer claims is not the only matter that must be resolved before litigation can be commenced. There remains the outstanding issue of where the fraudulent transfer claims should be litigated. NMC joined in Grace's motions in both the <u>Abner and Woodward</u> cases seeking to transfer the

litigation to Delaware. On June 13, 2001, Grace's motion to transfer in <u>Woodward</u> was granted. However, no action has been taken to date on the pending transfer motion in Abner.

Litigation of the fraudulent transfer claims in a forum other than the forum in which the underlying reorganization is going forward will unnecessarily complicate and delay Grace's reorganization. Because the fraudulent transfer claims are based on Grace's alleged intent and financial condition at the time of the transactions, much of the burden of defending the fraudulent transfer claims will require the involvement of Grace and will impose a significant burden on the company. As Grace has stated in its Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, many of the witnesses who would provide key testimony in any fraudulent transfer litigation are the same individuals who will be integral to Grace's successful reorganization. (Am. Compl. ¶ 57.) Litigating the fraudulent transfer claims in the same Court as the bankruptcy proceeding will ensure that the litigation of those claims will be resolved expeditiously and without unnecessarily delaying Grace's reorganization.

Moreover, this Court has a direct interest in preventing any unnecessary waste or expense in the conduct of any fraudulent conveyance litigation. Because NMC and Sealed Air both have indemnification rights against Grace, which the Debtors have acknowledged in Court and in their filings, the unnecessary continuation or expansion of any fraudulent conveyance litigation could result in additional administrative expenses for the Estates.

¹ Moreover, once the Court makes the initial determination as to who should prosecute the fraudulent transfer claims, some modification to the automatic stay and/or the preliminary injunction order may be required in order to allow the parties effectively and fairly to litigate the relevant issues.

CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, Fresenius requests that if the Court grants the Asbestos Committees (or any other individual or entities) the authority to evaluate or pursue fraudulent transfer litigation against Fresenius, that the Court require such litigation to be brought in this Court, where such litigation can be resolved fairly, efficiently, and with due regard to the interests of all constituencies to this bankruptcy proceeding.

Wilmington, Delaware Dated: July 11, 2001

Respectfully submitted,

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL

William H. Sudell, Jr. (I.D. No. 463)

Eric D. Schwartz (I.D. No. 3134)

1201 North Market Street

P.O. Box 1347

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

(302) 655-9200

and

McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY David S. Rosenbloom

Jeffrey E. Stone

Lewis S. Rosenbloom

227 West Monroe Street

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 372-2000

236104

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this Link day of July, 2001, a copy of National Medical Care's Response To The Joint Motion By The Official Committees of Asbestos Property Damage And Asbestos Personal injury Claimants For Authority To Prosecute Fraudulent Transfer Claims (Docket No. 477) was served on the parties listed on the attached service list.

William H. Sudell, Jr.

SERVICE LIST

BY HAND DELIVERY
Mark S. Chehi, Esq.
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
One Rodney Square
P.O. Box 636
Wilmington, DE 19899-0636

Mark D. Collins Esquire Richards, Layton & Finger One Rodney Square Wilmington, DE 19801

Curtis J. Crowther, Esq. White and Williams LLP 824 N. Market Street Suite 902 P.O. Box 709 Wilmington, DE 19899-0709

John D. Demmy, Esquire Stevens & Lee 300 Delaware Avenue 8th Floor, Suite 800 Wilmington, DE 19801

Joseph Grey, Esq. Stevens & Lee 300 Delaware Avenue Suite 800 Wilmington, DE 19801

Bruce E. Jameson, Esquire Prickett, Jones & Elliott 1310 King Street P.O. Box 1328 Wilmington, DE 19899

Laura Davis Jones, Esquire Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, Young & Jones 919 N. Market Street 16th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801

Michael B. Joseph, Esquire Ferry & Joseph, P.A. 824 Market Street Suite 904 Wilmington, DE 19801

Michael R. Lastowski, Esquire Duane, Morris & Heckscher, LLP 1100 N. Market Street Suite 1200 Wilmington, DE 19801 Mary M. MaloneyHuss, Esq.
Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP
920 King Street
Suite 300
One Rodney Square
Wilmington, DE 19801

Selinda A. Melnik, Esq. Smith, Katzenstein & Furlow LLP 800 Delaware Avenue P.O. Box 410 Wilmington, DE 19899

Frederick B. Rosner, Esquire Walsh, Monzack and Monaco, P.A. 1201 N. Orange Street Suite 400 Wilmington, DE 19801

Eric Lopez Schnabel, Esq.
Klett Rooney Lieber & Schorling, P.C.
The Brandywine Bldg.
1000 West Street
Suite 1410
Wilmington, DE 19801

Laurie Selber Silverstein, Esq. Potter Anderson & Corroon 1313 N. Market Street, 6th Floor P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899

William D. Sullivan, Esquire
Elzufon Austin Reardon Tarlov & Mondell,
P.A.
300 Delaware Avenue
Suite 1700
P.O. Box 1630
Wilmington, DE 19899

Jeffrey C. Wisler, Esquire Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 1220 Market Street 10th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801

Steven M. Yoder, Esquire The Bayard Firm 222 Delaware Avenue Suite 900 Wilmington, DE 19801 Matthew G. Zaleski, III, Esquire Campbell & Levine, LLC Chase Manhattan Centre 15th Floor 1201 Market St., Suite 1500 Wilmington, DE 19801 BY U.S. MAIL
Derrick Tay, Esquire
Meighen Demers
St. 1100, Box 11 Merrill Lynch
Canada Twr. Sun Life Center
200 Kint Street West
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3T4
CANADA

Bankruptcy Administration IOS Capital, Inc. 1738 Bass Road P.O. Box 13708 Macon, GA 31208-3708

Daniel Chipko
The Depository Trust Company
P.O. Box 20
Bowling Green Station
New York, NY 10274

Lisa Clegg-Konen Risk Co. P.O. Box 7061 Downers Grove, IL 60515

Nancy Worth Davis, Esquire
Ness, Motley, Loadhold, Richardson &
Poole
28 Bridgeside Boulevard
P.O. Box 1792
Mount Pleasant, SC 29465

Mary Harris Radian International P.O. Box 844130 Dallas, TX 75284-4130

John Hill PCS Nitrogen Fertilizer, L.P. P.O. Box 71029 Chicago, IL 60694-1029

Charlotte Klenke, Esquire Schneider National, Inc. P.O. Box 2545 3101 S. packerland Green Bay, WI 54360

William McBain DCP-Lohja, Inc. P.O. Box 2501 Carol Stream, IL 60132-2501 Diane Murdock BASF P.O. Box 75908 Charlotte, NC 28275

Joseph F. Rice Ness, Motley, Loadholt, Richardson & Poole 28 Bridgeside Boulevard P.O. Box 1792 Mt. Pleasant, SC 29465

Cindy Schultz Ingersoll-Rand Fluid Products One Aro Center P.O. Box 151 Bryan, OH 43506

Mia Skinner Union Carbine Corp. P.O. Box 91136 Chicago, IL 60693-0001

Eric Solverson Ingersoll-Rand Fluid Products P.O. Box 751229 Charlotte, NC 28275-1229

Milton Sunbeck, Jr. Southern Ionics, Inc. P.O. Box 830800 Drawer 830 Birmingham, AL 35283-0800

Secretary of Treasurer P.O. Box 7040 Dover, DE 19903

Secretary of State
Division of Corporations
Franchise Tax
P.O. Box 7040
Dover, DE 19903

Credit Department
Huntsman Corporation
P.O. Box 22707
Houston, TX 77227-7707

J. Douglas Bacon, Esquire Latham & Watkins Sears Tower Suite 5800 Chicago, IL 60606 Scott L. Baena, Esquire
Bilzin Sumberg Dunn Baena Price & Alexrod LLP
200 South Biscayne Blvd.
Suite 2500
Miami, FL 33131

D.J. Baker, Esquire Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP Four Times Square New York, NY 10036

Craig Barbarosh, Esq. Pissbury Winthrop LLP 650 Town Center Drive 7th Floor Costa Mesa, CA 92626-7122

Steven T. Baron, Esquire Member Silber Pearlman, LLP 2711 North Haskett Avenue 5th Floor Dallas, TX 75204

Rebecca L. Besson, Chairman Delta Chemical Corporation 2601 Cannery Avenue Baltimore, MD 21226-1595

Charles E. Boulbol, Esquire 26 Broadway 17th Floor New York, NY 10004

Alan R. Brayton, Esquire Brayton & Purcell 222 Rush Landing Road Novato, CA 94945

Russell W. Budd Baron & Budd, P.C. 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Suite 1100 Dallas, TX 75219

Ann-Margaret Bushnell Cass Logistics Temporary 900 Chelmsford Street Lowell, MA 08510 Elizabeth J. Cabraser, Esq.
Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein,
LLP
Embarcadero Center West
30th Floor
275 Battery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111

Stephen H. Case, Esquire Davis Polk & Wardwell 450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017

Bernice Conn, Esquire Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi LLP 2049 Century Park East Suite 3700 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Mary A. Coventry Sealed Air Corporation Park 80 East Saddle Brook, NJ 07663

John P. Dillman, Esquire Linebarger Heard Goggan Blair Graham Pena & Sampson, LLP P.O. Box 3064 Houston, TX 77253-3064

District Director
IRS
409 Silverside Road
Wilmington, DE 19809

Securities & Exchange Commission Atlanta Regional Office Branch/ Reorganization 3475 Lenox Road, N.E. Suite 100 Atlanta, GA 30326-1232

Robert M. Fishman, Esquire Shaw Gussis Domanskis Fishman & Glantz 1144 West Fulton Street Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60607

James D. Freeman, Esquire U.S. Department of Justice Environmental Enforcement 999 18th Street Suite 945-North Tower Denver, CO 80202

Charles E. Gibson, III Attorney at Law 620 North Street Suite 100 Jackson, MI 39202

Leonard P. Goldberger, Esq. White and Williams LLP 1800 One Liberty Place Philadelphia, PA 19103-7395

Peter S. Goodman, Esq. Andrews & Kurth, LLP 805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

T. Kellan Grant Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon 225 West Wacker Drive Suite 3000 Chicago, IL 60606-1229

Ira S. Greene, Esquire
Squadron, Ellenoff, Plesent & Sheinfeld,
LLP
551 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10176

Paul Haskell, Esq. Richards Spears Kibbe & Orbe One Chase Manhattan Plaza New York, NY 10005

Jay M. Hayden
Heller, Draper, Hayden, Patrick & Horn,
LLC
650 Poydras Street
Suite 2500
New Orleans, LA 70130-6103

Andrea L. Hazzard, Esq. Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP One Battery Park Plaza New York, NY 10004-1482

Jon L. Heberling, Esquire McGarvey, Heberling, Sullivan & McGarvey PC 745 South Main Street Kalispel, MT 59901

David S. Heller, Esquire Latham & Watkins Sears Tower Suite 5800 Chicago, IL 60606 Patrick L. Hughes, Esquire Haynes & Boone LLP 1000 Louisiana Street Suite 4300 Houston, TX 77002-5012

Elihu Inselbuch, Esquire Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered 399 Park Avenue 27th Floor New York, NY 10022

Steven J. Johnson, Esquire Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 1530 Page Mill Road Palto Alto, CA 94304-1125

William H. Johnson, Esquire Norfolk Southern Corporation Three Commercial Place Norfolk, VA 23510-9242

Shelby A. Jordan, Esquire Jordan, Hyden, Womble & Culbreth, P.C. 500 N. Shoreline Boulevard Suite 900 Corpus Christi, TX 78471

William S. Katchen, Esquire
Duane, Morris & Heckscher, LLP
1 Riverfront Plaza
2nd Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

Alan H. Katz, Esq. Entergy Services, Inc. 693 Loyola Avenue Suite 2600 New Orleans, LA 70113

Michael T. Kay, Esquire The Dow Chemical Company 2030 Dow Center Midland, MI 48674

Anne Marie P. Kelley, Esq. Dilworth Paxson LLP Liberty View - Suite 700 457 Haddonfield Road Cherry Hill, NJ 08002

Alan Kolod, Esquire Moses & Singer LLP 1301 Avenue of the Americas 40th Floor New York, NY 10019-6076 Lewis T. Kruger, Esquire Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP 180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038-4982

Courtney M. Labson, Esquire The Mills Corporation Legal Department 1300 Wilson Boulevard Suite 400 Arlington, VA 22209

Lewis T. LeClair, Esquire McKool Smith 300 Crescent Court Suite 1500 Dallas, TX 75201

Harry Lee, Esquire Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Ron Luce Valeron Strength Films 75 Remittance Drive Suite 3068 Chicago, IL 60675

Paul M. Matheny, Esquire Peter G. Angelos, P.C. 5905 Harford Road Baltimore, MD 21214

Todd Meyers, Esquire Kilpatrick Stockton 1100 Peachtree Street Suite 2800 Atlanta, GA 30309

Meridee Moore Farallon Capital Management, LLC One Maritime Plaza Suite 1325 San Francisco, CA 94111

Mr. Thomas Moskie Bankers Trust Company Four Albany Street Fourth Floor New York, NY 10006 Lawrence Palumbo
The Chase Manhattan Bank
270 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10017

Frank J. Perch, Esquire
Office of the United States Trustee
601 Walnut Street
Curtis Center
Suite 950 West
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Hamid R. Rafatjoo, Esquire Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, Young & Jones 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90067-4100

Susan Rice Zhagrus Environmental, Inc. 46 West Broadway Suite 130 Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Brad Rogers, Esquire Office of the General Counsel Pension Benefit Guaranty Corp. 1200 K. Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-4026

Davis S. Rosenbloom, Esquire LMcDermott, Will & Emery 227 West Monroe Street Chicago, IL 60606-5096

Josiah Rotenberg Lazard Freres & Co. LLC 300 Rockerfeller Plaza 60th New York, NY 10020

Lynn M. Ryan, Esq. Pillsbury Winthrop LLP One Battery Park Plaza New York, NY 10004-1490

David B. Seigel W.R. Grace & Co. 7500 Grace Drive Columbia, MD 21044

Ming Shiang, VP
The Depository Trust Company
55 Water Street
New York, NY 10042

Daniel H. Slate, Esq. Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP 350 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3442

Thomas M. Sobol, Esquire Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP 214 Union Wharf Boston, MA 02109-1216

Daniel A. Speights, Esq. Speights & Runyan 200 Jackson Avenue, East Post Office Box 685 Hampton, SC 29924

James H.M. Sprayregen, Esquire Kirkland & Ellis 200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601

James A. Sylvester, Esqurie Intercat, Inc. 104 Union Avenue Manasquan, NJ 08736

Rick Thomas Dupont Dow Elastomers 21088 Network Place Chicago, IL 60673-1210

Peter Van N. Lockwood, Esquire+B70 Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered One Thomas Circle NW Washington, DC 20005

Dorine Vork, Esq. Stibbe, P.C. 350 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022

Jim Wagner Stone Packaging System 21514 Network Place Chicago, IL 60673-1215

Ted Weschler Peninsula Capital Advisors, LLC 404B East Main Street Second Floor Charlottesville, VA 22902 R. Scott Williams
PMG Capital Corp.
Four Falls Corporate Center
West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2961

W.J. Winterstein, Jr., Esquire Eleven Penn Center 29th Floor 1835 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Jonathan W. Young Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon 225 West Wacker Drive Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60606-1229

Pamela Zilly
The Blackstone Group
345 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10154

Securities & Exchange Commission 15th & Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20020

Donna J. Petrone, Esquire ExxonMobil Chemical Company Law Department/Bankruptcy 13501 Katy Freeway Room W1-562 Houston, TX 77079-1398